



Bristol Parks Forum

Notes of the Meeting of the Bristol Parks Forum held on Saturday 15th October 2022 at Eastville Park Community Hub (The Nissan Hut)

A list of attendees was taken and is held by the Forum Secretary.

1. Welcome & Introduction

Mark Logan, Chair BPF welcomed everyone and thanked the Friends of Eastville Park for hosting this meeting in their Community Hub.

Mark introduced:

Bristol city Councillors Present:

Cllr Ellie King, Cabinet Member for Public Health & Communities, Ward Councillor for Hillfields

Cllr Lorraine Francis, Ward Councillor for Eastville

Cllr Gary Hopkins, Ward Councillor for Knowle

Cllr Tessa Fitzjohn, Ward Councillor for Bedminster

Bristol City Council Parks Managers:

Richard Fletcher, Parks Services Manager

Richard Ennion, Parks Development Manager

In addition:

Charlee Bennett, CEO, Your Park Bristol & Bath

Joe McKenna, ParkWork

2. Matters arising from July meeting

There were no outstanding actions and no matters arising.

Mark Logan Congratulated all those Bristol groups who have done so well in the RHS South West In Bloom "It's Your Neighbourhood" scheme.

Bristol - Friends of Troopers Hill	Level 5	Outstanding
Bristol - Northern Slopes	Level 4	Thriving
Bristol - Bramble Farm	Level 5	Outstanding
Bristol - Friends of Parson Street Railway Station	Level 4	Thriving
Bristol - Friends of the Avon New Cut	Level 5	Outstanding
Bristol - Ardagh Community Trust	Level 5	Outstanding
Bristol - Dame Emily Park Project	Level 4	Thriving
Bristol - Lyde Green Open Space Group	Level 4	Thriving
Bristol - Friends of Magpie Bottom	Level 4	Thriving
Bristol - Ambition Lawrence Weston	Level 5	Outstanding
Bristol - Snuff Mills Action Group	Level 5	Outstanding
Bristol - Sensory Garden, Page Park	Level 5	Outstanding
Bristol - Manor Woods Valley Group	Level 5	Outstanding
Bristol - Bristol Cathedral	Level 5	Outstanding
Bristol - Stockwood Growing Together	Level 5	Outstanding
Bristol - Friends of Southey Park	Level 3	Advancing
Bristol - Redcatch Community Garden	Level 5	Outstanding
Bristol - EastWynd Community Garden	Level 1	Establishing
Bristol - Filton Community Garden	Level 5	Outstanding
Bristol - Friends of Brislington Brook	Level 4	Thriving
Bristol - Patchwork Community Gardening Group	Level 4	Thriving

3. Bristol City Council Budget position and impact on Parks and the Parks Service

Richard Fletcher explained that, as had already been reported in the local press, the City Council is facing a budget deficit of -£31m for the year 2023/24. He reported that Parks Services had had 10 years of austerity and cuts already; and he was now putting together a package of budget proposals that would be consulted upon publicly before being agreed in February 2023. Every Council service is looking at what they can contribute to achieving the required budget and it is going to be a difficult process.

Cllr King explained that whilst there was a projected shortfall of -£31m that might get worse depending on the national position. It was recognised that the main pressure was from Adult & Social Care and from Children Services. She said they were having to look at what kind of Council we are going to become. Potentially, a smaller, more efficient Council.

She noted that Liverpool in terms of a comparison although a larger city, has around a third of the parks and green spaces that Bristol has.

She said that the work of Your Park was an example of interesting ways of supporting our parks

She recognises that it is a big ask to find reductions in such a short time frame and she is grateful to the Parks Service staff for working on it.

The Council was looking at an “asset based” approach. Looking at who is better in a city to deliver things and what it is not necessary for the Council to do.

Cllr King felt that community gardens are a “great example” of what a community can and do deliver and what the Council cannot and looking at what more Your Park can do.

She stated that there would be a 12 week consultation on the Budget.

Richard Fletcher explained that Parks Service is on a path to grow volunteers and community involvement. They are also trying to build income stream, and that usually takes investment.

In response to a query about why there was a -£31m deficit, Cllr King said it is a shortfall in the overall Council budget; and Councils are legally obliged to set a “balanced budget” so this was what they were working towards.

Cllr Francis stated that she works in social care as her paid job and there is always a deficit. The deficit is caused from decisions in Westminster. She did not want the £31m deficit rhetoric to sideline us away from encouraging the work of communities in parks and green spaces. Cllr King agreed and said that was a growing area.

Ted, Owen Square Pocket Park stated that when you are scenario planning for the future you have to work out the capacity requirement of a community to take thing on, otherwise it is a liability dump from one organisation to another. We need to know that there are arrangements for joint action, stewardship etc. with the Council. Your Park and other volunteers need more authority to take responsibility and there is a need to look at different ways of working together.

Cllr King said she acknowledges that and she is making those kind of arguments to colleagues. She cited the example of Communities fund, which is about building up resources, capacity of existing organisations, and the creation of new organisations. The Fund is not transactional, but seeks to encourage relationships

Richard Fletcher said that the Council knows that there is a need for this. However, the Parks Service have not been able to put enough staff into community support and they need to look at how they

can do this better. The challenge for Parks Services is trying to do things like this without taking resources that keep the service going. At the moment it is not clear how this is going to happen.

He noted that the investment in the Parks Volunteer Coordinator and in Your Park have been a good start to delivering a long - term vision to match the above

However, there were tough decisions to be made. In order to make it work properly they need the support from other Council services which have their own priorities.

Sarah, Hillfield Community Garden supported what Ted had said and recognised the support they had received from Your Park. She described that the garden's volunteers were 100% women as no men had volunteered and they were all working mums with small children. They were a very passionate and very new group. She felt that the delays caused by some of the "red tape" (e.g. process of getting approval for the design and installation of raised bed planters) needed to be considered, how delivering initiatives like this could be made easier. Not everyone had her time and capacity for this and many of their members are at the forefront of the current crisis so not able to assist her.

Richard Fletcher said it was one of the things they were looking at, what capacity and resources are needed to make these types of changes to processes

Cllr King said they needed to work out how they could become less risk averse as a Council and she, Richard Fletcher and others are addressing this.

Cllr Hopkins said that the PGSS which was to be consulted upon came across as a very weak document. He stated that in Redcatch Park people had developed a community garden that makes a profit, and raises money; but is battling for asset transfer of the garden from the Council. In their case this was not down to a lack of volunteers, but the need for the council to take the asset transfer forward. There is also an unused building which is in the same process. He felt that Parks Department needed to work more closely with the community. He also raised the issue of funding that had been raised by the sale of public park land had not been put back into the local area and he put a plea for any such monies to be kept in the Ward.

Mark Logan queried how does Parks Dept / Council learn lessons and how do we work together?

Richard Fletcher explained that historically they were not able to undertake such an asset transfer but there is now a Community Asset Transfer process. He explained that there was an asset transfer programme and lots of interest. To deliver the project they needed to spend more money and needed to get more money to support the community. There is a £0.5m capital and £170,000 revenue cost to the Council. The named projects are now part of the current process.

Mark Logan asked that Richard put the explanation of the process in writing for the Forum in due course.

Action: Richard Fletcher to provide a written statement on the Community Asset Transfer Process.

Frances, Badock's Wood, raised the concerns that she and her group had. She noted that in the same way that people were no longer Personnel but Human Resources it seems that Parks appeared no longer to be Parks but Assets. This is not right. What makes Parks different is that they are green, they have grass, trees, plants etc. Otherwise they are not a Park. People might not be able to easily define a Park but that is what anyone would say. A concrete square is not a Park and the focus on green, wildlife, nature etc. must not be lost in all this talk of assets. Also the issue of all the Parks being ours, the people of Bristol, and are being looked after for us by the Council. It is concerning that there might be attempts by groups to take on individual sites for profit leaving those sites that can't raise funds, notably Local Nature Reserves such as Badock's Wood, Troopers Hill, Manor Woods Valley, and other general green areas underfunded. She explained she didn't mean the

taking on of a building like this one, but not letting a whole park become run by organisations outside the Council Parks Services. It has been tried and failed in other parts of the country, because once they can't make the asset pay, it cannot be looked after. Additionally, the money they raise is not shared across all the Parks but kept for just the one they are running. This is not what we want.

Susan, Troopers Hill, explained that they were a LNR and their Friends group consider themselves the jam and the Council Parks Department the bread and butter. The Parks Department manages the site with assistance from the group's volunteers. The group also raises money to enable the Council to do things on the site. They do not want to take on the running nor the liability of the site, a site with a mine, structures etc. She said they love their working relationship with the Council and Parks Department, but maybe they need guidance on working with the Council and volunteers.

Richard Fletcher confirmed that the Parks Area Coordinator for each of the 3 areas are the Parks Groups first point of contact. They are not engagement officers, they do a landlord function in Parks. They are very busy and have a lot of demands on their time.

Sarah, Eastville Park, referred to complications of the system for accessing funds such as the Community Resilience fund and the requirement for a longer lease than they had already. Cllr King offered to talk to council officers about this. Cllr King also said that groups should talk to their local councillors if they needed help and Cllr Francis concurred.

Rob, Forum Secretary, said that Hillfields Community Garden was a really good example of a volunteer scheme within a wider park. That scheme would be less welcoming and off putting to those involved if the surrounding park was not going to be well managed and they may not want to continue. We are really worried that cuts will adversely affect Parks Services.

He also felt that there seemed to be no understanding that if decisions are not made quickly they volunteers lose heart and therefore there is a need bring decision making back down to Management level, to allow Richard Fletcher and his teams to make decisions. Instead it seems to take a long time because of the many levels it has to go through just to get sign off.

Cllr Fitzjohn said regarding Victoria Park and others communication between Council and groups had been good, but capacity to take on additional responsibilities was lacking.

She also flagged up that the Local Plan consultation is really important because that is where decisions about which green spaces will be built on are made. She said that the Local Plan consultation will take place in November this year.

Mark Logan reiterated the need for Richard Fletcher to be given the proper authority to spend his budget.

4. General Update from Bristol Parks – BCC Parks Officers

4.1 Parks & Green Spaces Strategy & Consultation

Richard Ennion, Parks Development Manager

Richard Ennion started by saying due to time constraints he would not be putting up slides, but the presentation that had been given to the last Forum meeting in July was still relevant.

He said there were 3 key things driving the Strategy – the power of parks and the power of people to change things and the need to work differently in the future

He explained that the Strategy (PGSS) was now at the writing stage. They were also doing mapping work to help understand where policies and processes apply and how they could work.

The work has been influenced by the following considerations:

The Parks Service and the community are strategic partners in how we manage and develop our Parks.

What are your expectations of the Strategy and what may happen next?

Do groups want to see more freedoms, increased power or community action?

What is the role of the Parks Service – what do we need to do if there is not enough money?

Is it to help provide capacity within groups, for example by providing resources to enable community development?

There is a need to prioritise.

We are told that stakeholders want and expect to work with the Parks Service better.

That there needs to be more Nature; and that parks and green spaces provide health and well-being benefits, and essential social services to communities.

How do we get relationships between partners, and the related way of working right?

We have Your Park and this Forum in place, and a massive opportunity to work more flexibly.

Is there an opportunity to do what we do now better? If so how?

The Strategy is not trying to deconstruct the Parks Service or turn parks and green spaces into pay to access service.

Need to think about how we navigate through these issues.

So what are your expectations from the PGSS for the next 5 years and beyond?

Len, Northern Slopes Initiative, said that the Forum Vision needed to be considered and the statement that he had made to the Communities Scrutiny Commission on behalf of the Forum Committee, which Richard Ennion and Councillors have.

Steve, Sylvia Crow / Cumberland Basin, Greville Smythe, said that what happens on the edge of green spaces was important. He said there was a problem of asset security because the previous Local Plan consultation a few years ago had left out Western Harbour, bits of Hotwells, Bedminster and Southville. They had disappeared from the Local Plan open spaces maps, including green spaces managed by Parks Department, and been included in area designated the Western Harbour Growth & Regeneration Area. He said that Covid-19 had showed they were an immensely popular asset for probably 50,000 people.

Richard Ennion stated that the principles of protecting green spaces are built into Planning process and the PGSS statement regarding enjoying and benefitting from green spaces in their locality via targets of provision of different types of green spaces (eg: within xm distance) remains from the 2008 Strategy.

These principles are key: the quality of green spaces, the quantity of green space available, and their accessibility to people.

There are development pressures but they are not all going to take place in green spaces. There is also the question of whether development is going to create a deficit in the availability to parks and green spaces.

Hopefully the planning process will not make things worse.

Steve, Sylvia Crow etc., Regarding the Local Plan he queried whether we were going to be able to say that the process then was unsound because these areas were not included?

Richard Ennion did not know the details of the Western Harbour situation – but the Planning Department may be able to explain things further.

Richard Fletcher commented on the concern of the BPF regarding pressure of development on green spaces. He is very conscious of it and other services are aware, and involved in creation and protection of green space. Those conversations really do happen.

Cllr King said that the old Local Plan mentioned health and well-being but not much. The new iteration of the Local Plan is about what is healthy and happy place to live, within the national framework.

Cllr Fitzjohn said that national housing allocations, set by Central Government affect the Local Plan.

Mark Logan said the Local Plan it is important for Parks, and needs to work together with the Parks and Green Space Strategy.

Cllr Fitzjohn commented that the security of parks and green spaces from development is complex, and flagged up that Outline Planning Permission has just been granted on the Green Belt (which has one of the best protections from development in place) in Bedminster to housing associated with the expanded Sports Complex at the Ashton Gate stadium.

Sarah, Eastville Park queried what the Council was wanting to get out of it. If the Council cannot pay for things now such as much needed toilets, which affect whether people can come to the park.

Richard Ennion said that was a good question and said how do we agree the answer? Is about the need for parks and green spaces to use a different approach than now?

When the Strategy talks about health and especially mental health – , parks provide a lot of positive things for people and the Strategy highlights that. Is there a financial saving to society through people using Parks? How do we use that saving as a lever to gain more resources?

Mark Logan queried whether the actions signposted in the Strategy were going to be funded.

Mark followed up saying so if we need funding to achieve Green Flag awards for example, without funding we cannot realise the benefits which have been mentioned.

Richard Ennion said there is a big money issue in the future. There may be ways of working through partnership to ensure that actions arising from the Strategy are delivered. The Council will be assessing how the Strategy would be delivered and looking at the funding gap.

Mark Logan asked what Plan B is if this approach cannot achieve that?

There was a discussion about CIL (Community Interest Levy) which can be levied on development for local improvements including Parks. Cllr Hopkins felt that the Parks Department had not been working with local people to raise funds.

Mark Logan asked about what percentage of CIL money was going to Parks?

Richard Ennion said that the new Strategy is entirely relevant to the City's needs and CIL is included in that.

Ted, Owen Square Pocket Park, felt that there were opportunities for Parks to make money from their assets. He cited an issue of unlicensed events happening in Owen Square that if they were licenced could provide income. He also felt that it could be a safe space for having local events but they needed to provide electricity, lighting etc. and that could bring money into Parks.

He suggested there was a need to look at the “customer journey” for people using the Park, at the links between Parks Dept. and Friends group; and the need for “when we say something we will deliver it”. There needs to be investment in capacity for landscape infrastructure / commissioning so that people know that it can be done and by who. We overlap so much when dealing with such issues. An example is the need for park furniture at Owen Park.

Cllr Francis stated that if there is not a lot of development in your CIL area there will not be a lot of CIL monies available to you

It was noted that for Parks & Green Spaces 15% of CIL must be spent locally but that 85% of that CIL money can be spent on strategic needs.

Cllr King explained that the CIL areas had been specifically designed in recognition of some areas having more capacity for developments which would bring CIL money – e.g. Lockleaze, where there is a lot of development was included in CIL Area 3 alongside other areas without such capacity, for that reason.

Steve, Sylvia Crow etc., flagged up that the developments that provide CIL also add to the numbers of people in an area. There is no space on Spike Island for a Park but developers are looking at sites there.

Frances, Badock’s Wood, explained that the Friends of Badock’s Wood had made a statement to the Communities Scrutiny Commission reiterated her group’s concern that nature and green be given greater prominence. Of course inclusivity, engagement, accessibility must all be in there but so they should in everything everyone does. She emphasised that what makes this a Parks & Green Spaces Strategy however is the green of the Parks and Green Spaces and that must not be lost sight of.

4.2 Nature Recovery Network

Richard Ennion had prepared a presentation called Managing for Nature in Parks & Green Spaces but recognised the shortage of time to look at that in detail but the presentation would be available on the Parks Forum website <http://www.bristolparksforum.org.uk/BPF151022Managing4Nature.pdf>

He said this was a presentation on the nature “theme” of the Parks & Green Spaces Strategy. It covers what strategic nature looks like (across Bristol), as well as what does nature in individual spaces looks like.

He highlighted was the map on P9 of his presentation which was titled Habitat Distinctiveness Scoring which showed habitats rated from low value to high value.

Peter, Sea Mills Meadows, stated that every river should be included in the map as well as land-based areas.

Richard Ennion said that the map showed SNCI, natural green space etc. Areas with the greatest opportunity for nature value and colours are re distinctiveness. There could be amenity grassland, low in nature value which could have its nature value increased by adding different things such as woodland, meadows etc. The inset map showed where areas had had habitat surveys undertaken recently.

Frances, Badock’s Wood, said that she knew that map well and that it included a piece of land in Badock’s Wood which used to be used as a sports field but Parks had taken it out of sports use because there were no users but Sports team had kept it in the Sports strategy for use for sport at some undetermined time in the future, but it would not be suitable then. She understood this prevented it from being included in the nature management and how would that be resolved. Richard said this was one of the sorts of things that would need to be discussed further.

Peter, Sea Mills Meadows, reiterated that it is fundamentally important to add the rivers.

Richard Ennion said that it was not the whole story on this map but that it was indicative of the scale of opportunity. How can these areas be developed for wildlife.

Engagement work on the Nature theme of the Parks and Green Strategy will probably come out in November of this year.

4.3 Future Parks

Due to time constraints Richard Ennion did not speak about Future Parks but said he would be happy to have individual conversations.

5. Your Park Bristol & Bath – Charlee Bennett, CEO, Your Park

Charlee started by saying that they were working with wonderful groups such as Hillfields Community Garden who were represented here. Through the fund they were working with 13 groups.

One project is Wild and Well. This is a Green Social Prescribing programme which was unlocking areas for health and well-being by getting out into parks.

Roots to Well Being

Funding for 2 new projects for 2 years working in areas of deprivation and drawing up ideas.

Sarah, Hillfields Community Garden, said that this kind of green social prescribing was helpful for people presenting to their doctor with issues but were not in a crisis situation.

Charlee said that the feedback was that 100% of participants feel happier and 80% feel less anxious.

She explained that they were building network so that people were not just relying on Your Park and park groups were part of that network.

Your Park is also working with park groups through the Your Park grant funding. They want to provide more grants in the future.

She stated that although B&NES financial cuts had meant that their volunteer coordinator role had been cut, B&NES had giving funding to Your Park.

One of the things they are working on is a simplified process for drawing up Management Plans for individual sites.

After last year's successful awards scheme it has been decided to hold them biennially. Whilst there will not be an awards scheme and ceremony this year there will be a get together for parks volunteers.

Your Park had commissioned research and report on access. Whilst it was quite a long report the summary is 6 pages. From this Charlee suggested that one thing a park group can do to enable access is to keep paths leaf free to reduce slippery surfaces at this time of year.

She said that there is no better time to be making the case for Parks. She said we need to get getting Bristol Parks Forum members and volunteers and networks to let their councillors know how they feel about their parks.

Sarah, Hillfields Community Garden asked if Your Park were writing to Councillors was there anyway others could add their names. Charlee to look into this.

Action: Charlee to confirm whether Your Park were writing to Councillors, and whether groups could add their names to the letter.

Cllr Fitzjohn said that people writing to them needed to say why they were so important to them.

Sarah, Eastville Park, said that there had been a report published about the value of how Parks have saved other services money. She thought this was a useful report and would pass on the reference to the Forum.

Action: Sarah to pass on to the Forum the reference on how Parks have saved other services money.

Frances, Badock's Wood, flagged up that the last Bristol City Council Quality of Life survey stated that 60% of people said that they visited one of Bristol's parks at least once a week and that 75% of them were satisfied with their Parks. She felt that the number of people who said they went to a park was a big number and should be borne in mind.

Cllr Hopkins said that a local survey of users of Redcatch Park had been conducted.

Charlee announced that Your Park had a placement for a young person (18-25-year olds) to have a paid work placement in a nature-focused roles. They are interested in receiving applications from young people who are from ethnically diverse backgrounds, who are living with a disability or are from low-income households. Closing date 11th November – details here.

<https://yourpark.org.uk/news/new-to-nature>

Mark explained that there were other ways that people can support Your Park, such as using the purchasing app which allows you to contribute to Your Park funds while you shop.

Action: Your Park to provide the relevant weblinks to Forum.

Richard Ennion stated that Your Park is making good progress. Charlee said that there had been a number of challenges including the pandemic, projects, fundraising but that Your Park was not in a position to do more.

Cllr Fitzjohn said that she is worried that Victoria Park's bid to HLF will get lost with larger bids from BCC and others but Charlee explained that the funding being sought was on different scales and that there were different pots and the two bids would not be competing as they would be applying to different HLF funds.

Lois, Redland Green, queried what is happening re WECA funds and Rob responded that at an awards ceremony recently it had heard that WECA had said there would be a second round but there would be a delay in starting it.

6. Cycling & Walking infrastructure in Parks – Len

Due to time constraints it was agreed that his presentation would be available electronically.

Action: Len to provide presentation for a future Update.

7. Bristol Civic Society plans for Ashton Court Mansion – Rob

Rob explained that if people were concerned to know that Bristol Civic Society had put forward plans for Ashton Court Mansion this was solely about the building not the green spaces around it. Rob had spoken with them and they had been clear that it was not their intention to put forward proposals for the parkland.

They have said:

“Bristol Civic Society believes that community control and management of ACM is the only way forward to secure the future of the Mansion. The only other option is the continued deterioration of the property.

BCS proposes to take the lead in setting up a community based trust. We plan to put together a group to explore options and to generate firm proposals for the future of the Mansion. BCS commits to involving all those interested.”

Cllr Hopkins commented that were a hotel for example to want the Mansion site they would want the grounds as well.

8. Parks Forum AGM – election of new committee & financial report

Rob explained that both he and Mark Logan were standing down from their roles as Forum Secretary and Chair respectively. Rob is also Chair of Trustees of Your Park and concerned about wearing two hats and had been Forum Secretary for over 10 years. However, they were both willing to stay on as members of the Committee.

Len Wyatt, currently Committee members and representative from the Northern Slops Initiative put himself forward to stand for the role of Chair of the Forum.

Jo Corke, St Andrews Park, proposed and Louis Goddard, Redland Green seconded the nomination of Len Wyatt for Chair.

A vote was taken. There were no votes against and Len was elected to the role of Chair.

There were no nominations for the role of Vice Chair and the vacancy remains.

The Committee were elected en bloc.

Existing Committee members as well as Mark Logan and Rob Acton-Campbell were

Sara West, Eastville Park

Derek Hawkins, current Treasurer

Frances Robertson, Badock’s Wood & current minuting secretary

Mark Maggs, Sustainable Henbury & Brentry

New volunteer to be on the Committee, was Ted Fowler, Owen Square Pocket Park.

All those who were willing to be on the Committee were duly elected to be the Committee of the Bristol Parks Forum.

It was agreed that one of the things the Committee would be looking at was reviewing the way they and the Forum works.

Lois, Redland Green thanks all those who had been working for the Forum on the Committee for all their hard work. She said they were very helpful and useful and they really are needed. Please keep up the good work.

Cllr Fitzjohn queried whether younger people might prefer an evening Forum meeting as Victoria Park were finding this more successful. Other groups had tried this without success and Frances said that FOBW were looking at moving their meetings to a Saturday morning from evenings in the New Year.

Cllr Fitzjohn offered a venue in Victoria Park for the next Forum meeting and queried why meetings were held north of the river. She was advised that they are held in the 3 different Parks areas and usually rotated and this meeting would have been at Redcatch Community Centre but it wasn’t available. Meetings are held at venues that Parks group offer or suggest in their area.

Cllr Fitzjohn also raised an issue about how much money a community group can hold without being a charity and she also suggested that the Forum be a CIC to hold money for other groups. However, the Parks Forum had already previously agreed that Parks Groups should hold their own monies. There can be issues with some funders about how groups are set up but the issue she flagged up of groups having to be a “legal entity” if they hold more than £5,000 in their bank was not known by those present. Mark Logan agreed that the Committee would look into it and report back to the Forum.

[Subsequent to the meeting, further investigation indicated that the £5,000 limit is income per year, not total monies held in a group account, and refers to bodies that have charitable purpose. This means an organisation with less than £5k a year income and with a charitable purpose does not need to register with the Charity Commission. It is understood that most if not all of Bristol’s park groups neither claim charitable purposes or objectives, nor have more than £5,000 annual income.]

9 Reports from Park Groups

Susan, Troopers Hill had some points but due to shortage of time she would deal with them via email.